

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,676	06/12/2006	John Alan Gervais	PU030342	4964
24498 Robert D. She	7590 10/19/2010 dd, Patent Operations	EXAMINER		
THOMSON L	icensing LLC	MOORTHY, ARAVIND K		
P.O. Box 5312 Princeton, NJ			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2492	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/19/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/582,676	GERVAIS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ARAVIND K. MOORTHY	2492		

	ARAVIND K. MOORTHY	2492	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 September 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) A The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period to knuder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the property of the property o	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belot) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belot 	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	
7. \(\bigcirc \) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) \(\bigcirc \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: \(\frac{10 \cdot 10}{2} \) Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: \(\frac{10}{2} \) Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		toe entered and an e	spianation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☑ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Aravind K Moorthy/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2492	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues that Freeman is directed towards a technique for securely changing encryption keys. The applicant argues that although both use encryption, Freeman's technique has little applicability to the conditional access systems described in the present specification. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Freeman discloses that once a user has had access to a particular set of encrypted files, several prior art approaches exist for securely removing that access. These prior art approaches include: 1) changing the key-pair for the cryptographic file-set, 2) changing the symmetric encryption key for new writes, and 3) re-encrypting the entire file-set for which access rights have changed. Each of these approaches has drawbacks. Simply changing the key-pair that encrypts the symmetric file encryption key is not secure because no means exists for verifying that a user did not cache the symmetric file encryption key, which would allow access not only to previously stored information, but new information as well. Changing the symmetric encryption keys that are used for newly stored information provides some protection, but a user can still access all of the previously stored information in the cryptographic file-set. This solution has the additional disadvantage that there may eventually be many encryption keys needed to read a single file, which makes the system overly complex. The most secure solution is to re-encrypt the entire cryptographic file system when a user's access to the file-set is removed. While the most secure, this method is also very costly, especially if user access rights change frequently. A Secure Key Replacement Protocol (SKRP), as described below, provides a safe and convenient way to change access rights [0030]. The applicant argues nowhere does March teach or suggest that the feature of an access card having a write-once memory and paired with a destination device. The examiner respectfully disagrees. March teaches a write-once memory [0037]. March teaches that the data can only be written into the memory device by only the manufacturer of the device [0025]. Therefore, the manufacturer is paired with the memory device. The applicant argues that Freeman fails to disclose or suggest conditional access data and conditional access certificates. The examiner respectfully disagrees. As discussed above, Freeman discloses conditional access data. Freeman discloses that the certificate has a validity date [0028]. Since the certificate has a validity date (conditional time), Freeman discloses conditional access certificates...